

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,282	•	12/31/2003	Sebastien Andre	Q79002	Q79002 6608	
23373	7590	12/06/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRU			RAHLL, JERRY T			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 ART UNIT PAPE					PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING		20037		2874		
				DATE MAIL ED: 12/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T		77		
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/748,282	ANDRE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jerry T. Rahll	2874			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	SS		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	N). imely filed in the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		erits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/04. 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152	?)		

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 28 January 2004 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

3. The drawings submitted have been reviewed and determined to facilitate understanding of the invention. The drawings are accepted as submitted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 2 recites the limitation, "... the inorganic substance is selected form a mineral filler, and a reinforcing agent, and preferably contains particles..." It is unclear whether the claim requires particles or not. For examination purposes, Claim 2 will NOT be considered to necessitate particles.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,282 Page 3

Art Unit: 2874

7. Claim 3 recites the limitation, "... the inorganic substance is silica, preferably pyrogenic silica." It is unclear whether the claim pyrogenic silica or not. For examination purposes, Claim 3 will NOT be considered to necessitate pyrogenic silica.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,773,486 to Chandross et al.
- 10. Chandross et al. describes an optical fiber with a Bragg grating having a core (13) surrounded by a cladding (12) and a coating (11) made of a material containing an organic substance (Column 4 Lines 30-55) that is substantially transparent to UV radiation used to write the grating and an inorganic materials (see Example 13 at Column 9) that is not miscible with the organic substance and is distributed substantially uniformly in the material. Chandross et al. further describes preparing a settable mixture containing the inorganic substance and at least one polymer precursor, applying the settable mixture on the cladding as a single layer and causing the settable mixture to set and form the material (see Column 4 Lines 5-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2874

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 12. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 13. Claims 4-6 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chandross et al.
- 14. Chandross et al. is silent as to the by-weight make-up of the material. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use such a combination, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).
- 15. Chandross et al. is silent as to the contrast of the grating. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use such contrasts, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).
- 16. Chandross et al. does not specifically describe an optical device incorporating the fiber with a Bragg grating. However, the fiber is useless without such a device. Therefore, it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the fiber in a device to provide a utility for the fiber.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 17. Claims 2-3 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 18. Claim 2 describes the inorganic substance as a mineral filler or reinforcing agent. Claim 3 describes the inorganic substance as silica. Claim 9 describes the polymer precursor as a silicone precursor.
- 19. This is subject matter not described or reasonably suggested, in conjunction with the further limitations of the present claims, by the prior art of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry T. Rahll whose telephone number is (571) 272-2356. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,282

Art Unit: 2874

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jerry T/Rahll

AKM ENAYET ULLAH PRIMARY EXAMINER